Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/752,993	NAKAJIMA, SETSUO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	STEVEN H. RAO	2814	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>STEVEN H. RAO</u> .	(3) <u>Jeffery Costella</u> .		
(2) <u>Marc Butler (50,219)</u> .	(4) <u>Dr. Ryoji Nomura</u> .		
Date of Interview: 20 April 2009.	·		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☒ applicant 2)☒ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>55-91</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Nitta, Sasaki, Mitanaga</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicants' stated they will file an RCE and submit an amendment with the RCE or later (prior to first O/A in the RCE) with suitable amendments to claims and if necessary an affidavit/deceleration.</u>			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENTS on reverse side or on attached sheet.	ie last Office action has already R OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT TERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	/ been filed, APP Y DAYS FROM ⁻ WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS SLATER, TO
•			•
		•	
ah coo c	∂i	M	
Thank 1 (50,219)	Zun a	W.	

U.S. Pateht and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Interview Summary

Paper No. 20090420